

Marketing Cull Sows

Originally published as PIH-123.

Authors

Ronald L. Plain, University of Missouri
Gerald Shurson, University of Minnesota

Reviewers

John and Deborah Brian, Zachary, Louisiana
Chris Hurt, Purdue University
Robert and Karen Metz, Browns Valley, Minnesota

Some of the common questions pork producers ask are, “What do I do with my cull sows?” “Should I move them to market as soon as possible or should I feed them for a few weeks? And if I do feed, how many weeks is best?”

The answer is the same as for most marketing questions—It depends! In this case, there are four factors that should be considered before making a decision about when to market sows: the condition of the sow, expected changes in sow prices, price of feed, and ease of handling.

Condition of the Sow

Probably the most important factor that will determine the advisability of feeding is the condition of the sow when the litter is weaned. Light, thin sows that have weaned heavy litters and have lost a lot of weight during lactation are usually better prospects for feeding than heavy sows.

Another aspect to consider is the overall health of the sow at weaning. Lame or seriously ill sows frequently do not regain weight quickly and may be poor prospects for feeding. There are two primary reasons for feeding cull sows: to allow them time to dry up and thereby avoid any discount on wet sows, and to gain back some of the weight lost during lactation. Both are good reasons. Light sows often will receive a larger discount for being wet than will heavy sows and usually will regain lost weight faster on less feed.

Usually it will require about a week of feeding after weaning to avoid a discount for wet sows. Unfortunately, the sow will not gain much weight during the first week after weaning. In fact, research indicates that a sow will lose 2-6% of her body weight during the first week after weaning, even if she is on a self-feeder.

Table 1 presents what may be a typical growth response for a post-weaning sow on full feed. She usually will lose weight for about a week, then gain weight very rapidly for one to two weeks, and then finally gain weight at a slower rate. However, research indicates there is a great deal of variation among

Weeks Fed After Weaning	Sow Weight	Total Feed Consumed (lb)
0	400	0
1	390	42
2	410	98
3	425	163
4	435	241
5	440	320

Table 1. Typical weights and feed consumption by a 400lb cull sow.

sows. Little research has been done on the best diet to feed cull sows. From a logistics perspective, feeding either a standard gestation diet or a finishing diet should be adequate.

In general, older and larger animals have poor feed conversion. Very young pigs can gain one lb of body weight on less than two lb of feed. As the pig matures it requires more feed per pound of gain. A 220lb pig will need about 3.5 lb of feed per pound of gain. A mature sow on full feed may eat 10-12 lb of feed per pound of gain; EXCEPT when compensatory gain is involved.

Compensatory gain is the term used to describe the recovery growth that usually occurs after an animal has lost weight or has been on restricted feed intake. This growth is frequently very rapid and feed efficient. It is compensatory gain that can make sow feeding profitable. For sows, this rapid growth phase usually begins about one week after weaning and continues until most of the lost weight is regained. When compensatory gain stops, it is probably time to market the sow. The sow may still appear to be doing well, but this is a result of the tremendous amount of feed that she is eating. It is hard to make money with feed conversion rates of 10 to 1.




Figure 1. Terminal market weekly average sow price, 1990-98.

Wks. Fed after Weaning	Sow Weight (lb)	Total Feed Consumed (lb)	Sow Price Increase Over Wet Sow (\$/cwt)	Feeding Profit (\$/sow)* Feed Price (¢/lb)		
				5	7	9
0	400	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	390	42	0	-7.60	-8.44	-9.28
1	390	42	1	-3.70	-4.54	-5.38
1	390	42	2	0.20	-0.64	-1.48
1	390	42	3	4.10	3.26	2.42
2	410	98	0	-3.90	-5.86	-7.82
2	410	98	1	0.20	-1.76	-3.72
2	410	98	2	4.30	2.34	0.38
2	410	98	3	8.40	6.44	4.48
3	425	163	0	-2.65	-5.91	-9.17
3	425	163	1	1.60	-1.66	-4.92
3	425	163	2	5.85	2.59	-0.67
3	425	163	3	10.10	6.84	3.58
4	435	241	0	-4.05	-8.87	-13.69
4	435	241	1	0.30	-4.52	-9.34
4	435	241	2	4.65	-0.17	-4.99
4	435	241	3	9.00	4.18	-0.64
5	440	320	0	-7.50	-13.90	-20.30
5	440	320	1	-3.10	-9.50	-15.90
5	440	320	2	1.30	-5.10	-11.50
5	440	320	3	5.70	-0.70	-7.10

Table 2. Estimated gain from feeding a 400 lb cull sow. *Assumes nonfeed cost of \$1.50/week and a wet sow price of \$40/cwt of live weight.

Expected Change in Sow Prices

A second factor to consider is the expected changes in sow prices. The producer may have to take a discount of a dollar per hundredweight or more if sows are marketed wet. Discounts of \$2/cwt to \$5/cwt are typical. Therefore, sale prices usually can be increased simply by holding cull sows until their udders dry up.

In addition to the discount for wet sows, the producer also must anticipate changes in sow prices. These are difficult to forecast accurately, but usually follow market hog price movements. Sow prices have a seasonal pattern. They tend to peak in late spring and bottom in early winter.

Figure 1 shows the weekly average price for sows for the period 1990-1998 at the six major terminal markets. The markets included are Sioux Falls, Omaha, Sioux City, South St. Joseph, South St. Paul, and Peoria. The most likely period for increasing sow prices is mid-December through mid-May. The period

from late May to early December is characterized by declining sow prices. Of course, there is no guarantee the future will be just like the past, but seasonal patterns tend to remain constant.

During periods of rising sow prices, feeding cull sows has three advantages: the discount on wet sows is avoided, compensatory gain is exploited, and the benefits of a rising market are received.

Price of Feed

The third factor to consider is feed cost. For all swine operations, feed is the major cost. In choosing to feed cull sows, the swine producer is betting that the value of the gain will be more than the feed and nonfeed costs.

Table 2 contains estimates of the net gain from feeding a 400lb cull sow as compared to selling her immediately after weaning as a wet sow. Feeding periods of one week to five weeks are illustrated along with feed costs of 5¢, 7¢, and 9¢ per lb. In Table 2, the price received for fed sows is either equal to the base price for wet sows or \$1, \$2, or \$3 above the initial price of wet sows. The cost of labor, interest, facilities, and other nonfeed expenses is set at \$1.50 per sow per week.

As would be expected, feed cost and changes in sow prices are the keys to net return. Returns vary greatly, case-by-case, but tend to be maximized after about three weeks of feeding.

Table 3 presents the price increase over wet sow prices needed to break even for different length feeding periods and different feed prices. For example, a three week feeding period requires a sow price increase of 63¢/cwt over the wet sow price to cover total costs when sow feed costs 5¢/lb and nonfeed costs are \$1.50/week. For 7¢ and 9¢ feed, sow price increases of \$1.39/cwt and \$2.16/cwt, respectively, are needed to cover costs. Table 3 assumes sow feeding performance as outlined in Table 1.

Wks. Fed After Weaning	Sow Price Increase Needed (\$/cwt) Feed Price (¢/lb):		
	5	7	9
0	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	1.95	2.17	2.38
2	0.96	1.43	1.91
3	0.63	1.39	2.16
4	0.93	2.04	3.15
5	1.71	3.16	4.62

Table 3. Increase in price above wet sow price needed to break even when feeding a 400 lb cull sow. *Assumes nonfeed cost of \$1.50/week and a wet sow price of \$40/cwt of live weight.

Table 4 uses the terminal market weekly average sow prices for 1990-98 in combination with sow feeding performance described in Table 1 to present the most profitable sow feeding periods for different weeks of the year and different feed prices.

For example, over the nine year period 1990-98, cull sows whose litters were weaned during the fifth week of the year would have earned the greatest net return if fed for three weeks before marketing (assuming feeding costs and performance described earlier). For litters weaned during the 49th week (early December), a four week feeding period would have been most profitable with 5¢/lb sow feed; a three week feeding period optimal with 7¢ feed (and a wet sow dock of at least \$1.37/cwt); and a two week feeding optimal with 9¢ feed (and a wet sow dock of \$2.06/cwt or greater).

If the dock for selling wet sows was less than the minimums specified in Table 4, then feeding cull sows was not profitable and sows should have been sold wet. For example, during mid-October (weeks 42 and 43), selling wet sows would have been the most profitable alternative unless the wet sow dock was well above \$3/cwt, and then only a one week feeding period would have been advisable.

Ease of Handling

The fourth item to consider is the ease with which sow feeding fits the swine operation. In order to feed cull sows profitably, adequate facilities and labor must be available. During the summer, sows may require supplemental cooling in order to achieve satisfactory rates of gain. Proper shelter is important during cold or wet weather as well.

A very real prospect to keep in mind is the possibility of declining sow prices during the feeding period. If sow prices do drop sharply, no amount of compensatory gain will offset the loss. If you cannot handle the risk, selling wet sows may be the best option.

Summary

Pork producers have a choice every time they cull a sow: to feed or not to feed. There are conditions under which it appears that selling sows shortly after weaning is the best choice-if the sow is lame, if facilities are not available, have heavy sows that lost little weight during lactation, have high feed costs, or expect a decline in sow prices.

Month	Week of Year Litter is Weaned	5¢ Feed		7¢ Feed		9¢ Feed	
		Optimal Feeding Duration (weeks)	Minimum Wet Dock** (\$/cwt)	Optimal Feeding Duration (weeks)	Minimum Wet Dock** (\$/cwt)	Optimal Feeding Duration (weeks)	Minimum Wet Dock** (\$/cwt)
Jan.	1	4	0.13	4	1.24	3	2.14
	2	5	0.00	3	0.00	3	0.76
	3	4	0.00	4	0.00	3	0.23
	4	4	0.00	4	0.00	3	0.11
Feb.	5	3	0.00	3	0.00	3	0.23
	6	4	0.00	3	0.00	3	0.32
	7	4	0.00	3	0.08	3	0.85
	8	3	0.00	3	0.32	3	1.09
March	9	4	0.00	4	0.60	2	1.10
	10	3	0.00	3	0.35	3	1.12
	11	3	0.17	2	0.80	2	1.28
	12	3	0.00	3	0.63	2	1.38
April	13	3	0.85	2	1.37	2	1.85
	14	4	0.67	2	1.48	2	1.96
	15	5	0.38	3	1.36	3	2.13
	16	4	0.00	4	0.43	3	1.54
May	17	4	0.00	3	0.00	3	0.38
	18	3	0.00	3	0.00	3	0.42
	19	2	0.00	2	0.00	2	0.47
	20	2	1.20	2	1.67	1	1.94
June	21	4	2.43	1	2.85	1	3.06
	22	4	1.42	3	2.21	3	2.98
	23	3	0.10	3	0.86	3	1.63
	24	2	0.00	2	0.44	2	0.92
July	25	1	1.61	1	1.83	1	2.04
	26	1	2.95	1	3.17	1	3.38
	27	4	1.85	4	2.96	1	3.41
	28	3	0.52	3	1.28	3	2.05
Aug.	29	3	0.00	3	0.00	2	0.60
	30	3	0.00	3	0.26	2	0.90
	31	3	0.29	3	1.05	2	1.65
	32	2	0.75	2	1.22	2	1.70
Sept.	33	2	1.50	2	1.97	1	2.30
	34	1	2.57	1	2.79	1	3.00
	35	4	1.89	3	2.68	3	3.45
	36	4	0.00	3	0.57	3	1.34
Oct.	37	4	0.00	3	0.00	3	0.54
	38	3	0.00	3	0.30	3	1.07
	39	3	0.00	3	0.40	2	1.15
	40	2	0.35	2	0.82	2	1.30
Nov.	41	1	1.72	1	1.94	1	2.15
	42	1	3.50	1	3.72	1	3.93
	43	3	3.39	1	3.89	1	4.10
	44	3	1.66	3	2.42	2	2.95
Dec.	45	2	1.23	2	1.70	2	2.18
	46	1	1.93	1	2.15	1	2.36
	47	1	3.10	1	3.32	1	3.53
	48	3	2.32	3	3.08	2	3.59
Dec.	49	4	0.35	3	1.33	2	2.06
	50	3	0.00	3	0.68	3	1.45
	51	3	0.16	2	0.71	2	1.19
	52	5	0.00	4	0.84	2	1.64

Table 4. Optimal sow feeding duration for 400lb cull sows, 1990-98. Assumes nonfeed cost of \$1.50/week. **If the dock for wet sows is less than given amount, then selling wet sows is advised.

However, in general, it appears that a two-week to four-week feeding program can be profitable, especially during months in which seasonal increases in prices are expected. Beyond four weeks, the sow probably will experience little additional compensatory gain and consequently feed conversion should drop sharply. Any added profit will have to come on the strength of rising sow prices.

Reference to products in this publication is not intended to be an endorsement to the exclusion of others which may be similar. Persons using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current directions of the manufacturer. The information represented herein is believed to be accurate but is in no way guaranteed. The authors, reviewers, and publishers assume no liability in connection with any use for the products discussed and make no warranty, expressed or implied, in that respect, nor can it be assumed that all safety measures are indicated herein or that additional measures may be required. The user therefore, must assume full responsibility, both as to persons and as to property, for the use of these materials including any which might be covered by patent.

This material may be available in alternative formats.